Eventus DIGITAL

A paper by George Davies, Founder and CEO, Eventus DIGITAL | Co-Founder and CEO, eventus.do

The Outcome Imperative

Why B2B service businesses must bridge the Attribution Gap, what the commercial consequences are of doing so, and what Outcome Engineering delivers for the businesses that build this capability now.

April 2026

Private and Confidential

Foreword

I have spent more than thirty years building and leading service businesses. For most of that time, the fundamental question at the centre of every client engagement was the same, and most providers, including me, at various points, found ways to avoid answering it directly: how do we know this is working?

The avoidance was understandable. Services produce outcomes that emerge over time, in combination with client action, in markets that are always moving. And for as long as buyers accepted that complexity as a reason for imprecision, service businesses could trade on reputation, relationships, and the quality of their thinking without being held accountable for results.

That era is over. Two forces, one from the demand side of the B2B services market, one from its supply side, have converged to make outcome accountability the non-negotiable commercial requirement of professional services in 2026. The businesses that recognise this now and build accordingly will define the next generation of professional services. Those that do not will find the ground shifting beneath them in ways they will struggle to explain.

This paper names the shift. It defines the commercial consequences of being on the right side of it and the wrong side of it. And it explains why Outcome Engineering, the structured discipline of connecting what a service business delivers to what its clients achieve, is the only response the market now rewards.

George Davies

Founder and CEO, Eventus DIGITAL | Co-Founder and CEO, eventus.do
April 2026

The Central Argument

The B2B services market has arrived at an inflection point from two directions simultaneously. Buyers demand proof of the value a service caused. The market cannot produce it. The businesses that understand what is now required, and build accordingly, will define professional services for the next decade. Those that do not will find that the absence of evidence is treated, correctly, as evidence of absence.

1. The Commercial Reality

The B2B buying process has changed more in the last three years than in the previous two decades. The changes are structural, not cyclical. They have permanently altered the conditions under which service businesses compete.

Buying committees have expanded dramatically. Where a single sponsor once carried a service engagement through to signature, the average B2B purchase now involves thirteen internal stakeholders and nine external participants. For AI-related purchases, that number roughly doubles. Each additional stakeholder represents a potential point of failure, and the most dangerous stakeholder is the one who asks for evidence the provider cannot supply.

> *"Thirteen internal stakeholders and nine external participants are now involved in the average B2B purchase. For AI-related purchases, the buying group roughly doubles to more than twenty participants."*
>
> **Forrester Buyers' Journey Survey, 2025**

Finance has moved to the centre. CFO approval is now required for 79% of B2B purchases, including service engagements that would previously have been signed off at director level. The CFO is not evaluating a service proposition. They are approving a business case. That business case requires numbers, and those numbers require evidence.

> *"CFOs aren't buying features. They're approving a business case someone else must defend. The question is: can your champion defend the number without you in the room?"*
>
> **ValuePros, B2B Sales Stats 2026**

Buyers arrive already decided. Research conducted before any vendor conversation takes buyers 73% through their decision process before first contact. They arrive with pre-formed views of what proof they require. The window in which service providers can shape those views has narrowed to near closure.

And evidence has displaced brand as the decisive factor. Buyers now prioritise ROI data, customer references, and verified performance metrics over reputation or marketing influence. Brand establishes permission to compete. Evidence establishes permission to win.

> *"Evidence of success will outweigh brand prestige in 2026. Brand still matters, but in an age of scrutiny, confidence now comes from proof that products deliver measurable value."*
>
> **Forrester, 2025**

At the same time, the Services-as-Software wave has generated scale without proof. Ninety-five percent of AI investments are not returning measurable ROI. The market has confused completion with value and activity with outcome. Technology automates delivery efficiently. It cannot, by itself, establish what the delivery caused.

> *"The biggest challenge with AI is no longer adoption. It is measuring business value. No one cares that you used AI. They care that it made money."*
>
> **GoViral Digital, 2026**

These two forces converge on the same gap. Buyers require proof. The market cannot produce it.

2. The Attribution Gap

Every service business in the B2B market lives in a gap.

The Attribution Gap

The distance between what you delivered and the value which you can prove you caused.

Most service businesses can show what they did. The SLA reports. The uptime percentages. The ticket volumes. The project milestones. All of it accurately recorded, professionally presented, and commercially irrelevant to the question that now determines whether they keep the contract on their terms or capitulate on price.

Which specific business outcomes did your service drive, and do you have the evidence to prove it?

Without an answer, every renewal is a negotiation. Every tender is a price comparison. Every portfolio review is a defence. Every CFO conversation is uncomfortable. You have delivered value you cannot monetise, built relationships you cannot defend, and created a business whose worth you cannot demonstrate.

The gap exists not because service businesses fail to deliver. Most deliver well. It exists because delivery and attribution are two different things. Knowing what you did is not the same as knowing what it caused.

The businesses on the right side of this gap operate differently. Not because their service is better. Because their value is visible, evidenced, and commercially undeniable.

3. What Closing the Gap Delivers

The commercial consequences of bridging the Attribution Gap are not incremental. They are structural. Consider what changes when a service business can stand in front of any client, any investor, or any competitive tender and say: here is the evidence that our service drove this specific result, and here is what your business would look like without us.

The renewal conversation

Before: the client has received good service and knows it. The conversation is about price. The supplier defends last year's rate against a procurement team with competitive quotes and a mandate to reduce costs. The relationship is warm. The outcome is uncertain.

After: the client holds a body of evidence linking the supplier's service to their business performance. The renewal conversation is about what to do next, which levers to pull harder, which outcomes to target in the coming year. The switching cost is no longer contractual. It is evidential. Walking away means losing the proof.

The competitive tender

Before: the supplier competes on credentials, case studies, and price. So does everyone else. The buying committee cannot differentiate on what cannot be measured, so they differentiate on what can. Price.

After: the supplier brings evidence produced from the prospect's own data. A finding about their business that they could not have produced themselves. The conversation is no longer about what the supplier claims to do. It is about what they have already shown they can find.

The portfolio review

Before: the management team presents what they did. Headcount restructured. Technology deployed. Processes changed. Costs reduced. The PE operating partner asks what any of it actually moved. The silence is the answer.

After: the management team presents what they caused. These are the three levers that drove margin improvement. Here is the evidence. Here is what the business will produce in the next twelve months if we pull them harder. The conversation shifts from defence to strategy.

The exit multiple

Before: the business has a good story. Revenue is growing. Clients are happy. The management team can explain what they did and why it worked. The buyer runs due diligence and finds narrative where they were hoping for evidence. The multiple reflects the uncertainty.

After: the business has a documented evidence base. Attribution of performance to specific operational decisions. Proof that the improvement is structural, not cyclical. Proof that it will persist under new ownership. The multiple reflects the certainty.

McKinsey's analysis of more than 100 B2B software businesses found that top quartile companies command a valuation multiple of 24x revenue. Bottom quartile: 5x. Nearly five times the multiple. The gap is driven by Net Revenue Retention, and Net Revenue Retention is driven by one thing above all others: the ability to evidence the value your service delivers to the client's business, and to have structured, outcome-based conversations about it.

Only 18% of businesses have best-in-class capability in this area. The window is wide open.

The proof already exists.

A managed services business restructured a single 300-seat client contract around evidenced outcomes. Annual gross profit moved from £75,600 to £184,350. A 144% increase. Same client. Same seats. No new technology.

Over three years, total contract value moved from £756,000 to £1,154,000. Gross margin expanded from 30% to 46%. The contract moved from rolling annual to a three-year commitment.

The client paid more because the impact on their business performance was visible, evidenced, and commercially undeniable. The only thing that changed was proof.

4. Why More Data and Better AI Do Not Close the Gap

The natural assumption is that the Attribution Gap will eventually be solved by technology. More data. Better platforms. More sophisticated AI. Automated measurement will, in time, produce the evidence that buyers require.

This assumption is mistaken.

AI-powered platforms and Services-as-Software models have generated scale, automation, and data at a level that would have seemed extraordinary five years ago. They have not generated proof. Ninety-five percent of AI investments are not returning measurable ROI precisely because the technology automates delivery without establishing what the delivery caused.

Completing more tasks is not the same as producing more value. A platform that handles thousands of interactions efficiently and generates dashboards full of completion metrics may be producing zero business outcome. The technology measures what it does. It cannot, by itself, establish what the client’s business got.

> *“Completion is not value. An agent that finishes 95% of tasks but produces zero business outcome has zero ROI. Executive buy-in comes from measuring outcome rate, not completion rate.”*
>
> **Digital Applied, 2026**

The organisations that are successfully scaling AI share a common characteristic. They have not simply deployed AI onto existing processes. They have redesigned their operating models with human expertise at the centre, using technology as the engine and judgment as the navigation. The technology creates the possibility. Human expertise realises it.

> *“The key differentiator isn’t the sophistication of the AI models. It’s the willingness to redesign workflows rather than simply layering agents onto legacy processes.”*
>
> **McKinsey, 2026**

The proof of value conversation cannot be automated. The CFO evaluating a renewal is not assessing the output of a platform. They are assessing whether the person accountable for the claim can defend it under scrutiny. Human accountability for outcomes is the mechanism through which evidence becomes credible. It is the condition on which trust is built. And in a market where trust is the currency of the buying decision, it is commercially decisive.

5. Outcome Engineering

Outcome Engineering is the commercial discipline of closing the Attribution Gap.

It is not a technology proposition. It is not a consulting methodology. It is a structured capability that connects what a service business delivers to what its clients achieve, producing evidence that is specific, financial, and commercially defensible.

The question Outcome Engineering answers is the one that now governs every buying decision, every renewal, and every portfolio review: which specific activities caused which specific results, and by how much?

Deliverable	What it produces
Service Map	A commercial model of the client's business that identifies the 3–4 specific activities that are genuinely driving revenue, margin, and retention. The foundation on which all evidenced outcomes are built.
Baseline	A quantified starting point that establishes what the business was producing before any intervention. The reference against which all subsequent performance is measured and attributed.
Gap Quantification	A financially precise account of the value the business is currently leaving on the table, what it could be producing if the identified levers were optimised. The business case for change.
Outcome Snapshot	A pre-engagement demonstration run against the client's own operational and financial data, producing a specific finding they could not have produced themselves. Proof of value before commitment.
Outcome Orchestration	The ongoing engagement model through which evidenced findings are translated into operational action, monitored in real time, and attributed to financial results over time.

For service providers

Outcome Engineering transforms every commercial conversation. The renewal stops being a negotiation. The tender stops being a price comparison. The portfolio review stops being a defence. And the exit conversation stops being a story. It becomes an evidence base.

It makes outcome-based pricing commercially viable. You can only charge for outcomes you can prove you caused. And it creates a competitive moat that cannot be replicated without the same capability. Unlike technology, which competitors can copy, an evidence base built through Outcome Engineering over time is uniquely yours.

For buyers of B2B services

Outcome Engineering resolves the procurement problem at its root. It defines success before engagement begins, establishes the evidence base as the engagement progresses, and ensures that the proof of value conversation is continuous, not retrospective.

It removes the friction from the CFO approval conversation. It gives the internal champion a financially credible argument. And it means that at renewal, both parties are looking at the same evidence rather than negotiating from different positions.

For PE-backed businesses

Outcome Engineering restructures the metrics that determine what a business is worth. The evidence base it builds does not only improve current-year performance. It re-rates the multiple at which that performance is valued.

Businesses with Net Revenue Retention above 120% command a 30 to 50% higher valuation multiple than comparable businesses at 100%. A ten-point NRR improvement can add 20 to 30% to enterprise value. Outcome Engineering is the commercial mechanism that drives those numbers.

6. The Evidence That Defines the Moment

The argument in this paper is not asserted without foundation. The following data points, drawn from independent research conducted in 2025 and 2026, define the scale and urgency of the transformation described.

Statistic	Finding	Source
79%	of B2B purchases now require CFO approval, including service engagements previously signed at director level	TrustRadius / ValuePros, 2026
73%	of buyers are already through their decision-making process before making first contact with a vendor	Green Hat / 6Sense, 2025
84%	of B2B decision-makers base buying decisions on peer recommendations and verified social proof	Martal Group, 2025
>50%	of business buyers rely on trials or demonstrations as a decisive step before committing, even above $1m purchase value	Forrester, 2025
95%	of AI investments are not returning measurable ROI despite $30–40 billion in enterprise investment	Multiple sources, 2025–26
29%	of executives say they can measure the impact of AI on their business with confidence	IBM Think Circle, Q4 2025
25%	of AI initiatives deliver expected ROI; only 16% have scaled enterprise-wide	McKinsey, 2025
19%	of executives report AI investments have increased revenues by more than 5%, versus 87% who expected this outcome	Glean / McKinsey, 2025
3x	more likely to scale AI successfully, the advantage high-performing organisations have, attributed to operating model redesign not model sophistication	McKinsey, 2026
43%	reduction in purchase likelihood in high-friction buying environments where the path to yes is unclear	ValuePros, 2026

Conclusion: The Choice That Now Faces Every Service Business

The transformation described in this paper is not coming. It has arrived.

The buyers who are already 73% through their decision before first contact have already formed their views about what evidence they require. The CFOs who now sit in 79% of service procurement decisions are already asking questions that most service businesses cannot answer. The PE operating partners reviewing portfolio performance are already distinguishing between narrative and proof.

The choice is not whether to transform. The market has removed that option. The choice is whether to build the capability deliberately, on your terms, or to lose deals and renewals to the businesses that already have.

The Attribution Gap is the most important commercial problem in the B2B services market right now. Outcome Engineering is the response.

The question is not whether your business will be required to evidence the value of what you deliver. The market has answered that. The question is whether you build that capability before the market reprices around the businesses that already have.

Stop Guessing. Start Knowing.

ēventūs.do works with B2B service businesses and the clients they serve to close the Attribution Gap, producing the evidence that buying committees require, the proof that CFOs approve, and the attribution that makes outcome-based commercial relationships sustainable.

The Outcome Snapshot is the starting point. We run Outcome Engineering on a sample of your own operational and financial data and return a specific finding about your business, one you could not have produced yourself, before any commitment is made.

outcomes@eventus.do | ēventūs.do

References

B2B Buying Behaviour

- Forrester Buyers' Journey Survey 2025, reported January 2026
- Forrester: B2B Buyers Now Demand Proof, Not Promises, DigitalCommerce360, October 2025
- ValuePros: B2B Sales Stats 2026, No Decision, CFO Scrutiny and Defensible Value, March 2026
- Green Hat / 6Sense: Australian B2B Buyer Journey Research Report 2025
- Martal Group: Key Factors Influencing the B2B Buying Process in 2025, August 2025
- Prime Creative Media: How B2B Buyers Are Making Decisions in 2026, April 2026
- Corporate Visions: B2B Buying Behavior in 2026, 57 Stats and Five Hard Truths, March 2026

Services-as-Software and AI Economics

- TSIA: State of Technology Services 2026, The AI Services Revolution, January 2026
- TSIA: State of Customer Success 2026, Proving Value in the Age of AI Economics, February 2026
- IBM: Think Circle Q4 2025, Maximising AI ROI, February 2026
- McKinsey: AI Economics research and agentic organisation analysis, 2025–2026
- Deloitte: SaaS Meets AI Agents, TMT Predictions 2026, February 2026
- Gartner: 40% of Enterprise Applications to Feature Agentic AI by 2026, August 2025
- GoViral Digital: Marketing Measurement in 2026, Proving ROI in an AI-Driven World, February 2026
- Digital Applied: AI Agent ROI Measurement 2026, Beyond Task Completion, April 2026
- NVIDIA: State of AI Report 2026, March 2026